Prediction of High-resolution Maps of Storm-driven Coastal Flooding Using Deep Learning

Tomás Cuevas López¹, Brandon Tucker¹, Casey Dietrich¹ & Dylan Anderson²

¹Department of Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering, NC State University ²Coastal Hydraulics Laboratory, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Duck, NC, USA

17th U. S. National Congress on Computational Mechanics 24 July 2023



<ロト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 一 目 - のへの</p>

Motivation: Real-time forecasting uncertainty



Motivation: Mesh resolution plays a key role **Trade-off:** \uparrow Resolution $\implies \uparrow$ Accuracy and \uparrow Run time

< □ > < □ >

3



Motivation: Accelerate Predictions with Deep Learning



What is new?

- Astronomical tides
- Prediction of maps

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3

5

Motivation: Proposed Workflow

Step 1

Data Collection

- Dataset of synthetic tropical cyclones
- MDA

Step 2

Training Library

- Hydrodynamic modeling
 - Downscaling

.

Step 3

Deep Learning

- RNN for time
- 2D CNN for space
- Train/Test/Validate

Step 4

Final Validation

 Compare NN against hindcasts

Motivation: Proposed Workflow



Step 1

Data Collection

- Dataset of synthetic tropical cyclones
- MDA

Step 2

Training Library

- Hydrodynamic modeling
- Downscaling

Step 3

Deep Learning

- RNN for time
- 2D CNN for space
- Train/Test/Validate

Step 4

Final Validation

 Compare NN against hindcasts **Step 1:** Dataset of synthetic tropical cyclones Filter storms by duration and proximity to NC



Step 1: Maximum Dissimilarity Algorithm

Selection of a representative set of storms - 1000 most dissimilar



Step 1: Maximum Dissimilarity Algorithm

Selection of a representative set of storms - 10 most dissimilar



Step 1

Data Collection

- Dataset of synthetic tropical cyclones
- MDA

Step 2

Training Library

- Hydrodynamic modeling
 - Downscaling

•

Step 3 Deep Learning

- RNN for time
- 2D CNN for space
- Train/Test/Validate

Step 4

Final Validation

 Compare NN against hindcasts

Step 2: Hydrodynamic Modeling Setup of ADCIRC simulations

- Same mesh (NC9^a) and nodal attributes
- Almost same configuration Random date \implies random tide
- Wind field: Symmetric Holland Model No need to compute extra parameters
 Coords, WS, P, and RMW

^aBlanton and Luettich (2008)



Step 2: Hydrodynamic Modeling Postprocessing ADCIRC simulations – Log files

HPC systems

- NCSU Hazel
- Purdue Anvil

Automated log files reading

- Run to completion or fail
 - Type or error
- Runtime
- CPU hours
- \approx 1M CPU hours and 2 months



Step 2: Hydrodynamic Modeling Postprocessing ADCIRC simulations – 2D Maps

"Boring storm"





Step 2: Hydrodynamic Modeling Postprocessing ADCIRC simulations – 2D Maps

Strong storm



"An A.I.-Generated Picture Won an Art Prize. Artists Aren't Happy", The New York Times.

Software to visualize and downscale ADCIRC



18

-

Kalpana allows easy visualization in GIS - netCDF to shapefile



Static Downscaling Method

Use of a high-resolution topo DEM to increase ADCIRC resolution and to expand or shrink the inundation extent^1



Rucker et al. (2021)

Python code that calls GRASS GIS

Updated version:

- From Python 2.7 to 3.9 (or higher)
- Pandas, GeoPandas, rioxarray and Dask
- Some parallelization
- From 1 single script to 2 modules
- All functions available on GitHub and documented.

Mayor improvements:

- Preprocess to accelerate the downscaling
- From 45 to 7 minutes on a 15m res DEM of NC
- Less user-defined inputs
- Inputs related to mesh

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ

Step 2: Downscaling Max Water Elevation Example of Neuse River, NC

AND AND COMPANY



8 - 10

□ 10 - 12 □ 12 - 14 2 km

・ロ・・日・・注・・注・・注・・つく

Step 2: Downscaling Max Water Elevation Example of Neuse River NC

Contraction of the



8 - 10

□ 10 - 12 □ 12 - 14 2 km

・ロ・・日・・注・・注・・、注・・・・

Step 2: Downscaling Max Water Elevation Example of Neuse River, NC

the first

0

Step 2: Downscaling Max Water Elevation Downscaled DEM to greyscale image



୬ < ୯ 25

2

< ≣⇒

Step 1

Data Collection

- Dataset of synthetic tropical cyclones
- MDA

Step 2

Training Library

- Hydrodynamic modeling
- Downscaling

Step 3

Deep Learning

- RNN for time
- 2D CNN for space
- Train/Test/Validate

Step 4

Final Validation

 Compare NN against hindcasts

Step 3: Neural Network Development

Long-Short Term Memory (LSTM) + Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) to predict peak surge at a single point



Step 3: Neural Network Development

Long-Short Term Memory (LSTM) + Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) to predict peak surge at a single point



Step 3: Neural Network Development Network Architecture

Preprocess the tracks

- 6 inputs: Ion, lat, wind speed, pressure, forward speed, and rad to max winds
- Zero padding and masking

Long short-term memory units (LSTM):

- Bi-directional: from t_0 to t_n and from t_n to t_0
- 6 layers and 256 hidden units

Multi-layer perceptron (MLP):

- First layer: 2 (bi-dir) \times 256 (hidden units) + 16 (tides) = 528 neurons
- 7 dense layers: 512 to 256 to 128 to 64 to 32 to 8 to 1 neuron
- Batch normalization after each dense layer
- Dropout of 50%
- RMSE loss

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで

Step 3: Neural Network Predictions RMSE for training and validation is close to 20 cm

Prediction at Duck Pier (NC) tide gauge



Summary



Summary, Current and Future Work

NN to predict high-res maps of storm-driven coastal flooding

- Selected a subset of tracks that represents the max and avg of the tropical cyclone conditions in NC
- Simulated 1000 storms with ADCIRC using \approx 1M cpu hours
- Downscaled the peak surge output to produce high and constant-resolution maps
- Implemented a NN based on an LSTM and MLP layers to predict peak surge at single points

Current Work

- New set of storms to simulate already defined
- Running simulations with a 1.4M nodes mesh developed by Johnathan Woodruff

Future Work

- Neural network development
 - 2D CNN to generate maps
- Validation with real storms

<ロト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 一 目 - のへの</p>

