High-Resolution Modeling of Surge during Hurricane Matthew (2016)

Ajimon Thomas¹, J.C. Dietrich¹,

T.G. Asher², B.O. Blanton³, A.T. Cox⁴, C.N. Dawson⁵, J.G. Fleming⁶, R.A. Luettich²

¹Civil, Construction and Environmental Engineering, North Carolina State University ²Institute of Marine Sciences, UNC Chapel Hill ³Renissance Computing Institute, UNC Chapel Hill; ⁴Oceanweather Inc. Institute of Computational Engineering and Sciences, UT Austin; ⁶Seahorse Coastal Consulting



Introduction

 Matthew was a Category-5 storm that impacted the south-east coast of the United States during October 2016



Introduction

• Variation in impacts along the coast



Observations at selected stations (South to North)

Literature Review

- 1. Surge-Tide Interactions
 - Several studies Proudman (1955,1957), Rossiter (1961), Tang et. al. (1996), Bernier et. al. (2007), Rego and Li (2010), Poulose et. al. (2017)
 - **Example** Rego and Li (2010) on the LATEX coast during Hurricane Rita, as high as 70% of the tidal amplitudes



- Common Limitation Shore-normal track, small extent of coastline
- Remaining Questions
 - How does a shore-parallel track affect tide-surge interactions over a large coastline?
 - What are the magnitude of these terms on the U.S. south-east coast?
 - What if the storm interacts with multiple phases of the tides?

Literature Review

- 2. Influence of Storm Parameters on Surge
 - Several studies Weisburg and Zheng (2006), Irish et. al. (2008), Rego and Li (2009), Berg (2013), Sebastian et. al. (2014)
 - Example Weisburg and Zheng (2006) studied storm surge response to forward speed in Tampa Bay, FL



- Common Limitation modifying storm track and wind fields, shore-normal storms, small/idealized coastlines
- Remaining Question
 - What is the effect of storm parameters like timing and speed on surge during a shore-parallel storm and on a large complex coastline?

Goals and Objectives

Goal: Understand the influence of tide-surge interactions and storm forward speed on water levels along the U.S. south-east coast

Objective #1: Validate winds, waves, and water levels during Matthew on a mesh with floodplains coverage over a large extent

Objective #2: Quantify the contributions of nonlinear interactions to the total water levels

Objective #3: Compute the differences in flooding if the storm occurred at a different time or travelled at a different speed

NC STATE UNIVERSITY

Methods

- Coupled ADCIRC + SWAN model
- The HSOFS unstructured mesh
 - Riverside, AECOM & NOAA 2015
 - 500m average coastal resolution
 - 1.8 million vertices





Methods

- Winds from OWI
 - Data-assimilated fields
 - Basin grid at resolution of 1/4°
 - Region grid at resolution of 1/20°



Parameter	Error	GAHM	WF	OWI
Surface Pressure	Stations	282	283	283
	RMSE (hPa)	6.72	4.23	2.14
	Bias	-0.16	-0.02	0.06
Wind Speed	Stations	66	61	66
	RMSE (m/s)	5.60	2.98	2.29
	Bias	-0.29	0.16	0.06

Model Validation – Observations







Model Validation – Waves



16 stations, RMSE of 0.46m, Bias of 0.11

Model Validation – Water Levels



241 stations, RMSE of 0.28m, Bias of 0.04

Water Levels (NAVD88) in meters

Model Validation – High Water Marks



Non-Linear Interactions between Surge and Tides

- Attributed to the non-linear terms in the governing equations
 - 1. Non-linear bottom friction
 - 2. Momentum advection
 - 3. Shallow water effect

$$\frac{\partial^{2}\zeta}{\partial t^{2}} + \tau_{o}\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial\tilde{J}_{x}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial\tilde{J}_{y}}{\partial y} - UH\frac{\partial\tau_{o}}{\partial x} - VH\frac{\partial\tau_{o}}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$\tilde{J}_{x} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(UH) + \tau_{o}UH \qquad \tilde{J}_{y} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(VH) + \tau_{o}VH$$
GWCE



Non-Linear Interactions between Surge and Tides



Maximum water levels (m)

NC STATE UNIVERSITY

Non-Linear Interactions between Surge and Tides



-1_0 L 10/05

10/06

10/07

10/08

10/09

10/10

10/11

Variations in Timing

- Changing storm's timing but keeping the speed constant
- ±6.21 hours and ±12.421 hours → advancing and delaying the storm by one-half and full M2 tidal constituent period



Variations in Timing



Change in maximum water levels

Variations in Timing



Variations in Forward Speed

- The forward speed of the storm was varied, keeping the tides off
- Blanton and Vickery (2008) 2.9m/s, 7.2m/s and 10.5m/s
- Represent 50% slower, 50% faster and 100% faster simulations



Variations in Forward Speed



Change in maximum water levels (no tides)

Variations in Forward Speed



Conclusions

Validation:

 Matthew's effects are well represented by the model even when applied on the relatively-coarse HSOFS mesh

Non-linear interactions:

- Destructive on a high/rising tide and constructive on a low/falling tide
- Negligible in the open ocean, increases landward, highest values (even more than 1m) occurs high up in the estuaries

Changes in timing:

• Large differences in water levels occurred due to the storm coinciding with different periods in the tidal cycles

Changes in speed:

• Faster storm produced higher surges on the open coast whereas the slower storm produced more flooding in the bays and estuaries

Thank You!